

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/795,966	03/08/2004		Gary Marshik	BSI-036	8628
51414	7590	11/08/2006		EXAMINER	
GOODWIN			STRIMBU, GREGORY J		
PATENT ADMINISTRATOR EXCHANGE PLACE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, M	1A 0210	9-2881	3634		

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office A 41 O	10/795,966	MARSHIK ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Gregory J. Strimbu	3634						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Au	igust 2006							
	action is non-final.							
, ,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-12,14-19 and 21-26</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-12 and 14-19</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·							
	<u> </u>							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)	» П	(770 440)						
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/5/06 + 8/21/06</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 3634

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-20, drawn to a balance shoe assembly, classified in class 049, subclass 181.
- II. Claims 20-26, drawn to a method of locking and unlocking a balance shoe in a window frame, classified in class 049, subclass 506.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the process for using the product can be practiced by another materially different product such as one that does not include a pivoting locking member.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with John V. Forcier on April 24, 2006 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-20. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 21-26 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 3634

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5, 7, 8, 12, 15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goldenberg. Goldenberg discloses a balance shoe for use in a window jamb 48, comprising: a slide block 47 comprising a lower end (not numbered, but shown in figure 12), a front surface (not numbered, but shown on the left hand side in figure 13), a rear surface (not numbered, but shown on the right hand side in figure 13), and oppositely disposed sliding surfaces (not numbered, but shown in figure 13 as the surfaces of the slide block perpendicular to the front and rear surfaces) connecting the front surface with the rear surface, the sliding surfaces adapted for guiding the slide block when installed in the jamb; a pivoting locking member 58 coupled to the slide block and biased into a locking position when installed in the jamb, the pivoting locking member extending beyond only one of the sliding surfaces and the lower end of the

Art Unit: 3634

slide block at least when the pivoting locking member is in the locking position as shown in figure 12; and a camming surface 60 disposed on the pivoting locking member that, upon application of a force, retracts the pivoting locking member from the locking position, a spring 62, a window balance cord 46, the slide block is made from a polymer (see page 4, lines 44-47), a window balance (see page 2, lines 38-40 and 47-49), a frame 10, 11, 12, 13, a tilt in window sash 14.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-11, 14-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Trout. Trout discloses a balance shoe 86 for use in a window jamb 36, comprising: a slide block 64 comprising a lower end (not numbered, but shown in figure 6), a front surface (not numbered, but shown on the right hand side in figure 1), a rear surface (not numbered, but shown on the left hand side in figure 1), and oppositely disposed sliding surfaces (not numbered, but shown in figure 4 as the right and left surfaces of the slide block) connecting the front surface with the rear surface, the sliding surfaces adapted for guiding the slide block when installed in the jamb; a pivoting locking member 86 coupled to the slide block and biased into a locking position by the sash when installed in the jamb, the pivoting locking member extending beyond only one of the sliding surfaces and the lower end of the slide block at least when the pivoting locking member is in the locking position as shown in figure 6; and a camming surface 94 disposed on the pivoting locking member that, upon application of a force, retracts the pivoting locking member from the locking position, teeth 116 adapted to

Art Unit: 3634

penetrate the window jamb, the cam surface is adapted to engage a pivot bar 124, a window balance 56, a frame 12, a tilt in window sash 14.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 21, 2006 have been fully considered but they moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Strimbu whose telephone number is 571-

Art Unit: 3634

272-6836. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on 571-272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gregory J. Strimbu Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3634

November 6, 2006